Complimentary and personal copy



SYNLETT Accounts and Rapid Communications in Chemical Synthesis

www.thieme.com

This electronic reprint is provided for noncommercial and personal use only: this reprint may be forwarded to individual colleagues or may be used on the author's homepage. This reprint is not provided for distribution in repositories, including social and scientific networks and platforms.

Publishing House and Copyright: © 2015 by Georg Thieme Verlag KG Rüdigerstraße 14 70469 Stuttgart ISSN 0936-5214

Any further use only by permission of the Publishing House



F. Rota et al.

Letter

Asymmetric Synthesis of Secondary Alcohols and 1,2-Disubstituted Epoxides via Organocatalytic Sulfenylation

33

Filippo Rota¹ Laure Benhamou Tom D. Sheppard*

Department of Chemistry, Christopher Ingold Laboratories, 20 Gordon St, London, WC1H 0AJ, UK tom.sheppard@ucl.ac.uk

Dedicated to Professor Steven V. Ley CBE FRS; Happy 70th B'DA-y Stevel



Received: 13.08.2015 Accepted after revision: 02.10.2015 Published online: 21.10.2015 DOI: 10.1055/s-0035-1560769; Art ID: st-2015-d0635-l

Abstract Enantioenriched secondary alcohols can be prepared via a short reaction sequence involving asymmetric organocatalytic sulfenylation of an aldehyde, organometallic addition, and desulfurization. This process provides access to enantioenriched alcohols with sterically similar groups attached to the alcohol carbon atom. The intermediate β -hydroxysulfides can also serve as precursors to enantioenriched 1,2-disubstituted epoxides via alkylation of the sulfur and subsequent basemediated ring closure.

Key words asymmetric synthesis, organocatalysis, alcohols, sulfur, epoxides

The asymmetric synthesis of secondary alcohols is a significant challenge in organic chemistry, with typical approaches including the asymmetric reduction of prochiral ketones² and the asymmetric addition of organometallic reagents to aldehydes.³ Whilst both of these approaches have proved successful in many cases, it is often difficult to achieve high levels of enantioselectivity when the two groups attached to the alcohol carbon atom have similar steric demands. We envisaged that a general asymmetric synthesis of secondary alcohols could be achieved via organocatalytic sulfenylation of an aldehyde at the α position, diastereoselective addition of an organometallic reagent to the aldehyde, and subsequent sulfur removal using Raney nickel (Scheme 1). Such an approach should be very versatile as the large sulfenyl group can be used as a 'traceless temporary chiral auxiliary' which controls the formation of the chiral alcohol center even if the two groups R¹ and R³ are sterically undemanding.

The field of organocatalysis has grown enormously over the past 20 years, with many effective methods now available for carrying out asymmetric reactions using small molecule catalysts.⁴ In particular, many different catalysts



Scheme 1 Proposed new asymmetric synthesis of secondary alcohols

derived from amino acids have been developed for achieving asymmetric functionalization of aldehydes via 'enamine' catalysis.⁵ The Jørgensen–Hayashi catalyst 2^6 has proved to be particularly versatile in this respect as it can be used to introduce a wide variety of groups at the α position of an aldehyde with high levels of asymmetric induction in most cases.^{6–8} Organocatalytic sulfenylation reactions have been reported using *N*-sulfenyl heterocycles as electrophiles, although to date only the synthesis of benzyl^{8a} and hexyl^{8b,c} sulfides has been reported.

The choice of sulfur group for our proposed asymmetric synthesis of secondary alcohols is crucial as a high diastereoselectivity in the organometallic addition reaction is a prerequisite for an efficient synthesis. From a study of the literature⁹ and preliminary experiments we noted that higher diastereoselectivities were obtained from addition of organometallic reagents to aldehydes bearing bulkier groups such as *tert*-butyl or phenyl sulfide. The latter group was selected for further exploration, due to the extremely potent odor of tert-butyl thiol and its derivatives. As to the best of our knowledge the asymmetric introduction of a phenylsulfenyl group^{9d} has never been previously reported using organocatalysis, we began our investigation by preparing the requisite *N*-phenylsulfenyl triazole (**3a**).¹⁰ With this compound in hand, we were then able to identify a procedure for organocatalytic sulfenylation to provide the desired α -phenylsulfenyl aldehydes in high enantiopurity (Scheme 2). As noted previously,⁸ the α -sulfenyl aldehydes were prone to partial racemization during chromatographic

34

F. Rota et al.

Letter

This is a copy of the author's personal reprint

purification. As can be seen from the measured enantiopurities, even brief exposure of the aldehydes to silica gel can cause some racemization.¹¹



Scheme 2 Asymmetric organocatalytic α -phenylsulfenylation of aldehydes using the Jørgensen–Hayashi catalyst. ^a No purification of intermediate aldehyde. ^b The intermediate aldehyde was purified by filtration through a plug of silica gel. ^c The intermediate aldehyde was purified by rapid vacuum filtration through a plug of silica gel. ^d (*R*)-**2** was used as catalyst.

Direct addition of an organometallic reagent to the crude reaction mixture obtained from the asymmetric sulfenylation reaction typically resulted in low yields of the resulting β -hydroxysulfide and low diastereoselectivity, so a two-step procedure was developed in which the intermediate α -sulfenylaldehyde was purified by vacuum filtration through a plug of silica gel, eluting with toluene.¹² The crude toluene solution of aldehyde was then directly reacted with the required organometallic reagent. This typically resulted in moderate to high yields of the desired β -hydroxy-sulfides **5** and excellent diastereoselectivity in most cases.

The major diastereoisomer was found to be the anti diastereoisomer in accordance with previous reports.^{9,13} A selection of β -hydroxysulfides **5a**–**1** was prepared (Scheme 3). including examples containing linear and branched alkyl groups (5a-e,k), benzene rings (5f-h,k), alkenes (5h-j), and an acetal (**5I**). With a selection of β-hydroxysulfides in hand, the key desulfurization step was explored. We had some concerns about the desulfurization as similar transformations of sugar-derived sulfides containing free alcohols have been reported to be low yielding.¹⁴ Pleasingly, however, treatment of a small selection of β -hydroxysulfides 5a-d with Raney nickel in ethanol resulted in clean desulfurization to give the desired alcohols 6a-d in generally excellent yield in most cases (Scheme 4).¹⁵ This provided access to enantioenriched secondary alcohols, including examples which would be extremely difficult to prepare using existing methods (e.g., 6d), due to the very similar steric demands of the groups attached to the alcohol carbon atom. The enantiopurity of the secondary alcohols, as measured using Mosher's acid,¹¹ was in close agreement with the calculated enantiopurity¹⁶ suggesting that only low levels of racemization took place during the reaction sequence.

However, in the case of alcohol **6d**, it was not possible to determine the enantiomeric ratio directly as the two Mosher's ester derivatives had identical NMR spectra.¹⁷



Scheme 3 Synthesis of β -hydroxysulfides via organocatalyitc sulfenylation of aldehydes followed by organometallic addition. ^a R²Li was used. ^b (R)-2 was used as catalyst. ^c **3b** was used.



Scheme 4 Desulfurisation of β -hydroxysulfides to give enantioenriched secondary alcohols. Enantiomeric ratios were determined via formation of the Mosher's esters;¹¹ calculated enantiomeric ratios are shown in parentheses.¹⁵

We envisaged that the β -hydroxysulfides could also be used to synthesize 1,2-disubstituted epoxides via conversion of the sulfide group into a suitable leaving group.¹⁸ For β -hydroxysulfide *ent*-**5f** this was achieved via alkylation with Meerwein's salt, followed by treatment with base to

This is a copy of the author's personal reprint

Svnlett

give the epoxide **7f** in moderate yield (Scheme 5). There are relatively few methods available for accessing this type of unfunctionalized 1,2-disubstituted epoxide¹⁹ in high enantiopurity, so this approach may prove extremely useful.

F. Rota et al.



 $\label{eq:scheme 5} \begin{array}{l} \mbox{Scheme 5} & \mbox{Conversion of a β-hydroxysulfide into an enantioenriched} \\ \mbox{1,2-disubstituted epoxide} \end{array}$

In conclusion, we have demonstrated that asymmetric organocatalytic sulfenylation of aldehydes can be employed in the synthesis of enantioenriched secondary alcohols and 1,2-disubstituted epoxides via short synthetic routes. This approach can provide access to enantiomerically enriched chiral building blocks which are difficult to access via existing approaches.

Acknowledgment

We would like to thank the Leverhulme Trust (studentship to F.R., F/00 134/CL) and the Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council (PDRA funding to L.B., EP/K001183/1; Advanced Research Fellowship to T.D.S., EP/E052789/1) for supporting this work. We would also like to acknowledge the EPSRC UK National Mass Spectrometry Facility at Swansea University for analysis of some of the compounds prepared in this work.

Supporting Information

Supporting information for this article is available online at http://dx.doi.org/10.1055/s-0035-1560769.

References and Notes

- (1) Current address: Dr. Filippo Rota, Principal Scientist, Abcam, Unit 3, Avon Riverside Estate, Victoria Rd, Bristol BS11 9DB, UK.
- (2) (a) Mashima, K.; Kusano, K.-H.; Sato, N.; Matsumura, Y.-I.; Nozaki, K.; Kumobayashi, H.; Sayo, N.; Hori, Y.; Ishizaki, T. J. Org. Chem. **1994**, 59, 3064. (b) Itsuno, S.; Ito, K.; Hirao, A.; Nakahama, S. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. **1983**, 469.
- (3) (a) Fernández-Mateos, E.; Maciá, B.; Yus, M. Adv. Synth. Catal.
 2013, 355, 1249. (b) Seebach, D.; Plattner, D. A.; Beck, A. K.;
 Wang, Y. M.; Hunziker, D.; Petter, W. Helv. Chim. Acta 1992, 75, 2171. (c) Ishizaki, M.; Fujita, K.-I.; Shimamoto, M.; Hoshino, O. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 1994, 5, 411. (d) Dai, Z.; Zhu, C.; Yang, M.; Zheng, Y.; Pan, Y. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2005, 16, 605. (e) Brown, H. C.; Jadhav, P. K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, 105, 2092.
- (4) (a) Abbasov, M. E.; Romo, D. Nat. Prod. Rep. 2014, 31, 1318.
 (b) Scheffler, U.; Mahrwald, R. Chem. Eur. J. 2013, 19, 14346.
 (c) Mennino, S.; Lattanzi, A. Chem. Commun. 2013, 49, 3821.
 (d) Alemán, J.; Cabrera, S. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2013, 42, 774.
 (e) Volla, C. M. R.; Atodiresei, I.; Rueping, M. Chem. Rev. 2014, 114, 2390.

- (5) (a) List, B.; Lerner, R. A.; Barbas, C. F. III. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 2395. (b) Northrup, A. B.; MacMillan, D. W. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 6798. (c) Beeson, T. D.; MacMillan, D. W. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 8826. (d) Cobb, A. J. A.; Shaw, D. M.; Ley, S. V. Synlett 2004, 558. (e) Yamamoto, Y.; Momiyama, N.; Yamamoto, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 5962.
- (6) (a) Hayashi, Y.; Gotoh, H.; Hayashi, T.; Shoji, M. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 4212. (b) Franzén, J.; Marigo, M.; Fielenbach, D.; Wabnitz, T. C.; Kjærsgaard, A.; Jørgensen, K. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 18296.
- (7) (a) Steiner, D. D.; Mase, N.; Barbas, C. F. III. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.
 2005, 44, 3706. (b) Marigo, M.; Schulte, T.; Franzen, J.; Jørgensen, K. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 15710.
- (8) (a) Marigo, M.; Wabnitz, T. C.; Fielenbach, D.; Jørgensen, K. A. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 794. (b) Armstrong, A.; Challinor, L.; Moir, J. H. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 5369. (c) Armstrong, A.; Deacon, N.; Donald, C. Synlett 2011, 2347.
- (9) (a) Brown, M. D.; Whitham, G. H. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1988, 817. (b) Watanabe, M.; Komota, M.; Nishimura, M.; Araki, S.; Butsugan, Y. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1993, 2193. (c) Enders, D.; Schäfer, T.; Piva, O.; Zamponi, A. Tetrahedron 1994, 50, 3349. (d) Enders, D.; Piva, O.; Burkamp, F. Tetrahedron 1996, 52, 2893.
- (10) Dubey, R.; Polaske, N. W.; Nichol, G. S.; Olenyuk, B. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2009**, *50*, 4310.
- (11) (a) Dale, J. A.; Dull, D. A.; Mosher, H. S. J. Org. Chem. 1969, 34, 2543. (b) Dale, J. A.; Mosher, H. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1973, 95, 512. (c) Sullivan, G. R.; Dale, J. A.; Mosher, H. S. J. Org. Chem. 1973, 38, 2143.
- (12) General Procedure for the Preparation of β -Hydroxysulfides 5

A solution of aldehyde (1 equiv) and catalyst 2 (0.1 equiv) was stirred in toluene (1.3 M) for 15 min. A solution of sulfenvltriazole 3 (1.3 equiv) in toluene (1.6 M) was added dropwise, and the resulting mixture was stirred under argon at r.t. for 24 h. The reaction mixture was then quickly sucked under vacuum through a pre-wet (toluene) pad of silica (ca. 1.5 g per 100 mg of aldehyde) and washed with toluene (10 mL per 100 mg of aldehyde). The filtrate was added dropwise to a solution of the organometallic reagent (3-4 equiv) cooled to -78 °C (for Li reagents) or -10 °C (for Grignard reagents). The reaction was monitored by TLC and stirred until all the intermediate α -sulfenvlaldehyde was consumed. The reaction was then quenched with sat. NH₄Cl and partitioned between H₂O and Et₂O. The aqueous layer was extracted with Et₂O, and the combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over MgSO₄, filtered, and evaporated to dryness. The crude β -hydroxysulfide was purified by column chromatography (PE-Et₂O).

(2R,3S)-3-(Phenylthio)octan-2-ol (5a)

[α]_D²⁵ -4.2 (*c* 1.0, CHCl₃). IR (film): v_{max} = 3414, 3060, 2959, 2929, 2858, 1584, 1466, 1439, 1279, 1139 cm⁻¹. Isolated as a 91:9 mixture of diastereoisomers. ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃): δ (major isomer): 0.88 (3 H, t, *J* = 6.8 Hz, CH₂CH₃), 1.19 (3 H, d, *J* = 6.4 Hz, CHCH₃), 1.27-1.71 (8 H, m, 4 × CH₂), 2.33 (1 H, br s, OH), 3.16 (1 H, ddd, *J* = 9.4, 5.8, 3.2 Hz, SCH), 3.89 (1 H, qd, *J* = 6.4, 3.2 Hz, CHOH), 7.22-7.30 (3 H, m, 3 × ArH), 7.44 (2 H, d, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 2 × ArH). ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 14.2, 19.1, 22.6, 27.5, 30.1, 31.8, 58.7, 68.3, 127.1, 129.2, 132.0, 135.5. ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃): δ (minor isomer): 0.88 (3 H, t, *J* = 6.8 Hz, CH₂CH₃), 1.25 (3 H, d, *J* = 6.1 Hz, CHCH₃), 1.27-1.71 (8 H, m, 4 × CH₂), 2.91 (1 H, ddd, *J* = 9.6, 6.5, 3.2 Hz, SCH), 3.72 (1 H, dq, *J* = 6.5, 6.1 Hz, CHOH), 7.22-7.30 (3 H, m, 3 × ArH), 7.44 (2 H, d, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 2.5 (1 H, ddd, *J* = 9.6, 6.5, 3.2 Hz, SCH), 3.72 (1 H, dq, *J* = 6.7, 7.7 Hz, 2.5 (1 H, ddd, *J* = 9.6, 6.5, 3.2 Hz, SCH), 3.72 (1 H, dq, *J* = 6.7, 7.7 Hz, 2.5 (1 H, ddd, *J* = 9.6, 6.5, 3.2 Hz, SCH), 3.72 (1 H, dq, *J* = 6.7, 7.7 Hz, 2.5 (2 H), 7.22-7.30 (3 H, m, 3 × ArH), 7.44 (2 H, d, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 2.5 (2 H), 3.2 (2 H, d, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 2.5 (2 H), 3.2 (2 H, d, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 2.5 (2 H), 3.2 (2 H, d, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 2.5 (2 H), 7.22-7.30 (3 H, m, 3 × ArH), 7.44 (2 H, d, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 2.5 (2 H), 7.22-7.30 (3 H, m, 3 × ArH), 7.44 (2 H, d, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 2.5 (2 H), 7.22-7.30 (3 H, m, 3 × ArH), 7.44 (2 H, d, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 2.5 (2 H), 7.22-7.30 (3 H, m, 3 × ArH), 7.44 (2 H, d, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 2.5 (2 H), 7.22-7.30 (3 H, m, 3 × ArH), 7.44 (2 H, d, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 3.5 (2 H), 7.22-7.30 (3 H, m, 3 × ArH), 7.44 (2 H, d, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 3.5 (2 H), 7.22-7.30 (3 H, m, 3 × ArH), 7.44 (2 H, d, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 3.5 (2 H), 7.22-7.30 (3 H, m, 3 × ArH), 7.44 (2 H, d, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 3.5 (2 H), 7.22-7.30 (3 H, m, 3 × ArH), 7.44 (2 H), 7.22-7.5 (3 H), 7.24 (2 H), 7.2 Hz, 7.5 (2 H),

F. Rota et al.

2 × ArH). ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 14.2, 20.2, 22.7, 27.0, 30.1, 31.1, 59.3, 68.3, 127.3, 129.1, 132.5, 135.5. HRMS (EI): *m/z* calcd for C₁₄H₂₂OS: 238.13859; found: 238.13884 [M]⁺.

- (13) The absolute stereochemistry of the alcohol 5I was determined by NMR analysis of the Mosher's ester derivatives (see ref. 11). Full experimental details are provided in the Supporting Information.
- (14) Hou, D.; Lowary, T. L. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 4487.
- (15) General Procedure for the Preparation of Alcohols 6

A solution of β -hydroxysulfide (1 mmol) and Raney Ni (2 g) in EtOH (0.05 M) was stirred at reflux for 2–4 h. The mixture was cooled to r.t. and filtered through a pad of Celite. The filtrate was evaporated to dryness to afford the alcohol.

(R)-Octan-2-ol (6a)

 $[\alpha]_D{}^{25}$ –5.4 (c 1.0, CHCl₃). IR (film): ν_{max} = 3339, 2960, 2927, 2857, 1462, 1373, 1279, 1177, 1141, 1115 cm $^{-1}$. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 0.91 (3 H, t, *J* = 6.9 Hz, CH₂CH₃), 1.21 (3 H, d, *J* = 6.1 Hz, CHCH₃), 1.27–1.51 (10 H, m, 5 × CH₂), 3.81 (1 H, m, CHOH). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 14.1, 22.6, 23.5, 25.7,

29.3, 31.8, 39.4, 68.2. These spectroscopic data are in agreement with those reported previously: Maywald, M.; Pfaltz, A. *Synthesis* **2009**, 3654.

- (16) The diastereomeric β -hydroxysulfides were not readily separable. Thus, the er of the alcohol **6** was calculated from the enantiopurity of the intermediate α -sulfidoaldehyde (determined by reducing a sample to the primary alcohol **4**) and the dr of the purified β -hydroxysulfide **5** used in the desulfurization reaction. Please see Supporting Information for further details.
- (17) Schreiber, S. L.; Reagan, J. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1986**, *27*, 2945; separation of derivatives of **5e** via chiral HPLC was also unsuccessful.
- (18) Kang, S.-K.; Kim, D.-Y.; Hong, R.-K.; Ho, P.-S. Synth. Commun. **1996**, *26*, 3225.
- (19) (a) Aggarwal, V. K.; Winn, C. L. Acc. Chem. Res. 2004, 37, 611.
 (b) Wang, Z.-X.; Tu, Y.; Frohn, M.; Zhang, J.-R.; Shi, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 11224. (c) Zhang, W.; Loebach, J. L.; Wilson, S. R.; Jacobsen, E. N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 2801.